

# Branch and Bound for LIA

Idea: given a set of LIA inequations  $N$ , find a solution by relaxation to LRA and case split with respect to an LRA solution that is not in the integers.



## 6.2.14 Lemma (LIA Satisfiability is NP-Complete)

Let  $N$  be a conjunction of linear arithmetic constraints then LIA  $\models \exists x_1, \dots, x_n. N$  is NP-complete.

### Proof.

NP-Membership: If  $N$  contains  $n$  variables and  $a$  is the absolute value of the largest coefficients, then all variables can be bound to  $-n(|N|a)^{2|N|+1} \leq \beta(x_i) \leq n(|N|a)^{2|N|+1}$ .

NP-Hardness: By coding 3-SAT. □

The simple LIA branch and bound calculus is very similar to DPLL, Section 2.8. A LIABB problem state is a pair  $(M; N)$  where  $M$  a sequence of partly annotated simple bounds  $x_i \leq d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $N$  is a set of inequations,  $\text{vars}(N) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Let  $a$  be the maximal absolute value of a coefficient in  $N$ ,  $c = n(|N|a)^{2|N|+1}$ , then the following LIABB states can be distinguished:

- $(B; N)$  is the start state for  $N$ , where  $B = -c \leq x_1, x_1 \leq c, \dots, -c \leq x_n, x_n \leq c$ .
- $(M; N)$  is a final state, if there is a unique  $\beta$ ,  $\text{LIA}(\beta) \models M \wedge N$
- $(M; N)$  is a final state, if there is no  $\beta$ ,  $\text{LIA}(\beta) \not\models N$

Given a state  $(M, N)$ , a simple bound  $x \circ d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is called *undefined* in  $M$ , if there exists a valuation  $\beta$ ,  $\text{LIA}(\beta) \models M$  and  $\text{LIA}(\beta) \not\models x \circ d$ . The rules Propagate, Decide, and Backtrack constitute the LIABB calculus.



**Propagate**  $(M; N) \Rightarrow_{\text{LIABB}} (M, x \circ d; N)$

provided there is a valuation  $\beta$ ,  $\text{LRA}(\beta) \models M \wedge N$ ,  
 $\text{LIA} \models \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. [(M \wedge N) \rightarrow x \circ d]$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $x \circ d$  is  
 undefined in  $M$

**Decide**  $(M; N) \Rightarrow_{\text{LIABB}} (M, x \circ e^d; N)$

provided  $x \circ e$  is undefined in  $M$ ,  $\text{LRA}(\beta) \models M \wedge N$ ,  $\beta(x) = d$  and  
 either  $(\circ = \leq$  and  $e = \lfloor d \rfloor)$  or  $(\circ = \geq$  and  $e = \lceil d \rceil)$

**Backtrack**  $(M_1, x \circ_1 e_1^d, M_2; N) \Rightarrow_{\text{LIABB}} (M_1, x \circ_2 e_2; N)$

provided there is no valuation  $\beta$ ,  
 $\text{LRA}(\beta) \models (M_1 \wedge x \circ_1 e_1 \wedge M_2 \wedge N)$  and there is no  $y \circ' e'^d$  in  $M_2$   
 and if  $\circ_1 = \leq$ , then  $\circ_2 = \geq$  and  $e_2 = \lceil d \rceil$ ; if  $\circ_1 = \geq$ , then  $\circ_2 = \leq$  and  
 $e_2 = \lfloor d \rfloor$

### 6.2.15 Lemma (LIABB Propagate and Decide)

Let  $(B, N) \Rightarrow_{\text{LIABB}}^* (M, N)$  be a LIABB derivation. Then from  $(M, N)$  there only finitely many applications of Propagate and Decide possible.

### 6.2.16 Theorem (LIABB Terminates)

Any derivation  $(B, N) \Rightarrow_{\text{LIABB}}^* \dots$  is finite.

